## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

	for the			FILED		
Eastern D	istrict of	California		Aug 11, 2021 CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT		
United States of America	)			EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
$\mathbf{v}.$	ĺ					
GARY STEPHEN MAYNARD	)	Case No.	3:21-mj-00007-D	MC		
Defendant	- j					
ORDER OF DETE	NTION	PENDIN	G TRIAL			
Part I - Eligibility for Detention						
Upon the						
Motion of the Government attorney purs						
Motion of the Government or Court's ov			•			
the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention detection conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142						
Part II - Findings of Fact and I	Law as to	o Presumpti	ons under § 3142	(e)		
A. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.	S C 8 31	142(e)(2) (pr	avious violator): The	re is a rehuttable		
presumption that no condition or combination of co						
and the community because the following condition			•	9		
(1) the defendant is charged with one of the		_		1.E01		
(a) a crime of violence, a violation of § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximu						
(b) an offense for which the maximum		-		-		
(c) an offense for which a maximum t						
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 70	§§ 801-9	904), the Co	ntrolled Substances	Import and Export Act		
(d) any felony if such person has been						
<ul><li>(a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two described in subparagraphs (a) through jurisdiction had existed, or a combinate</li></ul>	h (c) of the	his paragrapl	h if a circumstance			
(e) any felony that is not otherwise a c	crime of v	violence but	involves:			
(i) a minor victim; (ii) the possession (iii) any other dangerous weapon; or (						
(2) the defendant has previously been conv						
§ 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense t to Federal jurisdiction had existed; <i>and</i>	hat would	d have been	such an offense if a	a circumstance giving rise		
(3) the offense described in paragraph (2) a						
committed while the defendant was on rele	-	•				
(4) a period of not more than five years has						
defendant from imprisonment, for the offen	ise descri	oeu iii parag	rapii (2) above, wii	ichevel is latel.		

B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:
(1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);
(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;
(3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above
The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is
ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)
OR
The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:
By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.
By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.
In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:
Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong  Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted
Prior criminal history
Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision
History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse
Lack of stable employment
Lack of stable employment  Lack of stable residence
Lack of financially responsible sureties
Lack of illialicially responsible surcties
Lack of finaliciarly responsible strettes  Lack of significant community or family ties to this district

## Case 3:21-mj-00007-DMC Document 10 Filed 08/12/21 Page 3 of 3

AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial

Significant family or other ties outside the United States
Lack of legal status in the United States
Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration
Prior failure to appear in court as ordered
Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement
Use of alias(es) or false documents
Background information unknown or unverified
Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release

## OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:

## Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

in connection with a court proceeding

Date: 8/11/2021

DENNIS M. COTA, United States Magistrate Judge